

EXHIBIT 1

Mike Beaderstadt

September 17, 2004

Moline, IL

1

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3
4 IN RE PHARMACEUTICAL)
5 INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESAL MDL No. 1456
6 PRICE LITIGATION) Civil Action: 01-CV-12257-PBS
7 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO)
8 ALL CLASS ACTIONS)

9

10

11 Deposition of MIKE BEADERSTADT, taken before
12 GREG S. WEILAND, CSR, RMR, CRR, Notary Public,
13 pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for
14 the United States District Court pertaining to the
15 taking of depositions, at Suite 300, 1630 Fifth
16 Avenue, in the City of Moline, Illinois, commencing
17 at 9:07 o'clock a.m., on the 17th day of September,
18 2004.

19

20

21

22

Mike Beaderstadt

September 17, 2004

Moline, IL

72

1 started insisting that they be sourced from that
2 supplier.

3 Q. So prior to 1999, doctors had the option
4 of utilizing that alternative to the buy-and-bill
5 methodology, and it was starting in 1999 that
6 John Deere required that?

7 A. Yes, approximately '99.

8 MR. HAAS: Okay. I have no further
9 questions.

10 MS. MacMENAMIN: I actually have some
11 follow-up questions for Mr. Beaderstadt. I didn't
12 know you were going to go straight there. And one
13 follow-up question for Carol, for Ms. Sidwell.

14 (Whereupon, an off-the-record
15 discussion was held.)

16 EXAMINATION

17 BY MS. MacMENAMIN:

18 Q. All right. Mr. Beaderstadt, can I ask you
19 generally, what is your understanding of the term
20 average wholesale price?

21 A. Generally my understanding is that it's a
22 benchmark that we use to price specific drugs in the

Mike Beaderstadt

September 17, 2004

Moline, IL

73

1 physician's office and the pharmacies.

2 Q. Do you understand it to have a
3 relationship to any actual acquisition cost?

4 A. I don't believe it has any relationship
5 that is a consistent relationship.

6 Q. Are you aware of who establishes or sets
7 AWP?

8 A. I don't know exactly how it's established.
9 I know that we get different numbers from a variety
10 of sources.

11 Q. In your time at John Deere, was it ever
12 your responsibility or your role to negotiate
13 pharmacy reimbursement contracts?

14 A. Indirectly in my oversight of Carol's
15 role.

16 Q. Was it ever your role to negotiate rebates
17 or discounts with drug manufacturers?

18 A. Once again, indirectly.

19 Q. Was it ever your role or responsibility to
20 negotiate contracts with PBMs?

21 A. No.

22 Q. In your oversight of the pharmacy and

Mike Beaderstadt

September 17, 2004

Moline, IL

76

1 ever doubted AWP as an accurate source for
2 reimbursement?

3 MR. HAAS: Objection to form.

4 THE WITNESS: We have never used AWP in
5 the pharmaceutical world as a source for
6 reimbursement. We have used it as a source to
7 calculate our reimbursement.

8 BY MS. MacMENAMIN:

9 Q. Correct. Have you ever doubted AWP as a
10 basis or benchmark for reimbursement in the pharmacy
11 world?

12 A. My perspective is that we always try to
13 get that price as low as possible that still puts
14 together a reasonable network, and we'd go to minus
15 25 percent and nobody would sign it and we'd try
16 minus 13 percent and everybody signed up, so we knew
17 we had to have it somewhere in between there. And
18 minus 20 is our latest venture there, and that has
19 produced some headaches for us, but we have been
20 able to put together a reasonable network based on
21 that.

22 So again, it's simply a basis for

Mike Beaderstadt

September 17, 2004

Moline, IL

77

1 negotiation, and we try to do as well as we can to
2 lower our costs for acquiring those drugs.

3 Q. I want to skip to part of your testimony
4 regarding certain drugs called Remicaid, Lupron and
5 Synagis I believe it's called.

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And at some point there was a change in
8 the reimbursement benchmark for these drugs.

9 Can you tell me, can you first of all just
10 describe for me that change and what went on?

11 A. Essentially, and again I'm recalling here
12 something that I wasn't directly involved in, but we
13 knew that we could acquire that drug. Those are
14 very expensive, high-cost drugs that are used in
15 very specific cases, very specific diseases. Most
16 of those patients who require those drugs are going
17 through our case management program, being medically
18 managed in some other fashion.

19 So rather than purchase those at prices
20 that we would pay under our physician contracts, we
21 made the decision that we wanted to source those
22 drugs exclusively from specialty pharmacy

EXHIBIT 2

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

3 IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY
4 AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE
5 LITIGATION MDL NO. 1456

6 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

7 ALL CLASS ACTIONS MASTER FILE NO. 01-CV-12257-PBS

8
9 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND
10 FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

11 ROBERT J. SWANSTON, INDIVIDUALLY AND
12 ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF AND ALL
13 OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED PLAINTIFF

14 VERSUS NO. CV2002-004988

15 TAP PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCTS,
16 INC.; ET AL. DEFENDANTS

17 *****

18 DEPOSITION OF MICKEY BROWN

19 *****

20 APPEARANCES NOTED HEREIN

21 TAKEN AT INSTANCE OF: DEFENDANTS

22 DATE: MARCH 9th, 2005

PLACE: BRUNINI, GRANTHAM, GROWER & HEWES

POST OFFICE DRAWER 119

JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI 39205-0119

TIME: 10:00 a.m.

1 actually change as needed throughout the course of
2 an individual year.

3 Q Can the methodology be reduced to a --
4 to a formula?

5 A No.

6 Q How -- how is the -- what is the
7 methodology? Is it -- for example, is it linked to
8 AWP? Is it linked to some other benchmark or --

9 MS. FEGAN: Objection to form.

10 MR. ROBBEN: (Continuing.)

11 Q You can answer.

12 A Okay. Again, we use -- as I said in the
13 earlier part of the deposition, we use AWP as a
14 reference point. Reimbursement is established based
15 on -- based on our needs as a company to present
16 fair and reasonable reimbursement to the provider
17 community and fair and reasonable reimbursement to
18 Blue Cross/Blue Shield of Mississippi and our
19 subscribers.

20 We do use AWP as a point of reference.

21 We -- we reimburse for physician-administered

22 pharmaceuticals, in the physician's office using the

1 various HCPC codes assigned to the type of service
2 they provide. You know, a HCPC code, a J code, and
3 I believe a G code -- there's a few other types of
4 HCPC codes -- can have multiple drugs assigned to
5 that -- to that -- to that J code or other HCPC
6 code.

7 And -- and we will choose either -- we'll
8 choose the lesser of the lowest-priced brand using
9 AWP for the -- to determine that or the low -- or
10 the median generic, whichever is less. And then we
11 apply some -- either a markup or markdown, just
12 depending on our business need, to produce fair
13 reimbursement to the folks I mentioned earlier.

14 So it's -- it's used in the calculation
15 as a point of reference, a starting point for us to
16 develop what we think is fair to the physician
17 community, to Blue Cross to our subscribers.

18 Q Okay. Let me -- let me back up a little
19 bit, because I think you said a lot of things there,
20 and I want to understand it correctly.

21 Now, am I correct that when you -- that
22 doctors submit their claims for drugs that they

1 administer by J code?

2 A Or other HCPC code. There are other
3 HCPC codes that --

4 Q That might take in --

5 A That might take into account an
6 injectable drug or a physician-administered drug.

7 Q Okay. Now, when you get that claim in
8 with that code, what's your next step?

9 A We have a set allowance for that
10 particular HCPC code. We apply that allowance to
11 the claim, then apply the subscriber's benefits, and
12 we process the claim. Now, the -- the technical of
13 how that flows, I'm not -- definitely not an expert
14 in that area, but that's generally how it works.

15 Q Okay. How do you --

16 A So we have an established allowance for
17 that.

18 Q Okay. How do you establish that
19 allowance amount?

20 A We take the -- using the J code and a
21 crosswalk to the NDC number for all of the drugs
22 that -- that tie to that J code, we take the median

1 generic or the lowest brand, whichever is less, and
2 then we apply either a markup or a markdown, just
3 depending on -- again, back to fair and reasonable
4 reimbursement and what's acceptable in our
5 marketplace.

6 Q Okay. Now, when you say you take the
7 lowest brand of the median generic, you're talking
8 about the lowest brand or median generic's AWP?

9 A Correct.

10 Q Now -- now, what's your source for the
11 AWP?

12 A I believe it's Red Book.

13 Q Okay. Now, when you're dealing with
14 generics, where do you find the median generic
15 price?

16 A Every -- every NDC number ties to a J
17 code in that range of drugs. So we take the average
18 wholesale price for all of those national drug code
19 numbers, and we determine the median internally.

20 Q So it's something you do in-house?

21 A Correct.

22 Q Okay. So, for example, I know, just

1 answer that because I'm not faced with that
2 decision.

3 I don't know how -- I don't know that the
4 practice happens or is prevalent or how that affects
5 what is fair and reasonable. All of those questions
6 would have to be answered before I think I could
7 answer the question that you've asked.

8 MR. MANGI: (Continuing.)

9 Q Do you know whether or not physicians
10 contract in any cases with manufacturers to get
11 rebates and discounts on drugs?

12 A I don't have any idea.

13 Q Now, I believe you agreed earlier that
14 acquisition costs for drugs could vary from
15 physician to physician, correct?

16 A I think what I said is that I didn't
17 know whether it did or didn't. My assumption would
18 be that it does. But I don't know whether it does
19 or doesn't.

20 Q Well, certainly, we can agree that the
21 AWP for any given drug bears no fixed relationship
22 to acquisition costs for that drug, correct?

1 A As I've said before, I don't know where
2 average wholesale price comes from. So the relation
3 of average wholesale price to acquisition cost is
4 not something that I'm familiar with. So I don't
5 know that I can agree or disagree with your
6 statement.

7 Q Then it's certainly fair to say you have
8 no particular expectation that there will be a fixed
9 relationship between AWP and acquisition cost?

10 MS. FEGAN: Objection to form.

11 A Average wholesale price is a point of
12 reference that we use. It's relation to acquisition
13 cost, I'm not familiar with. So, I mean, I don't
14 have an expectation one way or the other on that.

15 MR. MANGI: (Continuing.)

16 Q Certainly, you don't have an expectation
17 that acquisition costs will be 20 percent less than
18 AWP, 40 percent, 80 percent. You just have no
19 expectation at all about that; is that a fair
20 statement?

21 MS. FEGAN: Objection to form.

22 A I mean, I -- all I can -- all I can

1 answer to answer honestly is I have no understanding
2 of the relation between the two. And to speculate
3 on, you know, what is and what isn't the
4 relationship, I'm not comfortable doing.

5 MR. MANGI: (Continuing.)

6 Q So it's fair to say, then, certainly you
7 have no expectation of what the relationship is
8 either, correct?

9 A I think it's fair to say I don't know
10 what the relationship between the two is. And we
11 strictly use AWP as a point of reference, and that's
12 really all I feel comfortable responding to.

13 Q On a separate note, you mentioned that
14 CMS fee schedules are used as a point of reference
15 in generating your fee schedules, correct?

16 A I said it is another source that we look
17 at just so that we have an understanding of what's
18 going on in the marketplace. It's not a point of
19 reference in the same sense that average wholesale
20 price is. Our -- our reimbursement is not based on
21 what Medicare's reimbursement is.

22 Q Do you -- does Blue Cross/Blue Shield of

EXHIBIT 3

Eric Cannon
30(b)(6)

Highly Confidential
Salt Lake City, UT

September 13, 2004

1

1

IN THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

2

3

-O-

4

5

IN RE:

:

6

PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY

MDL No. 1456

7

AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE

:

01-CV-1225

8

LITIGATION

9

10

30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF: IHC HEALTH PLANS

11

12

ERIC CANNON

13

14

-O-

15

16

Place:

IHC Health Plans

17

4646 West Lake Park Blvd.

18

Salt Lake City, Utah 84120

19

Date:

September 13, 2004

20

9:40 a.m.

21

Reporter:

Vickie Larsen, CSR/RPR

22

-O-

Eric Cannon
30(b)(6)

Highly Confidential
Salt Lake City, UT

September 13, 2004

35

1 Medispan that include pricing information. We talk
2 with vendors about pricing changes, price increases.
3 We receive from manufacturers notification when prices
4 are raised.

5 We track closely the number of
6 manufacturers that may be selling a generic product
7 that would indicate price competition in a specific
8 category. We monitor communications that may take
9 place through newsletter groups or journal articles or
10 internet or wherever information -- wherever we can
11 find information, we'll take it.

12 Q. One of the things that you mentioned is
13 that you keep track of the number of companies selling
14 generic products?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Why is the number of companies selling a
17 generic product important?

18 A. The number of companies selling a generic
19 product is important to us in that as the number of
20 companies selling a particular product increases, so
21 does competition. Generally speaking as price or as
22 competition increases within a category, the price

Eric Cannon
30(b)(6)

Highly Confidential
Salt Lake City, UT

September 13, 2004

36

1 then begins to drop.

2 Q. Are you familiar with the term "AWP" or
3 "average wholesale price" in the context of
4 prescription drugs?

5 A. Yes, I am.

6 Q. And you mentioned that you subscribe to
7 First Data Bank and/or Medispan databases; is that
8 correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Are AWP's published in those?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Are AWP's for generic products also
13 published?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. In your experience does the AWP for a
16 generic product tend to decrease when additional
17 sellers of generic products enter the market?

18 A. No, it does not.

19 MR. EVERETT: Let's go off the record.

20 (There was a break taken.)

21 MR. EVERETT: Let's go back on the
22 record.

EXHIBIT 4

Jan L. Cook, M.D.

CONFIDENTIAL
Boston, MA

March 6, 2006

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MDL No. 1456

CERTIFIED COPY

C.A. No. 01-CV-12257-PBS

* * * * *

IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY *

AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION *

*

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL ACTIONS *

* * * * *

VOLUME I

DEPOSITION OF JAN L. COOK, M.D., a witness called on
behalf of Johnson & Johnson, pursuant to the Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure, before Jessica L.
Williamson, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified
Realtime Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of
Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P., 800 Boylston
Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on Wednesday, March 6,
2006, commencing at 9:37 a.m.

Jan L. Cook, M.D.

CONFIDENTIAL
Boston, MA

March 6, 2006

202

1 relationship between the price at which they
2 acquire drugs and the amounts they reimburse, if
3 any?

4 MR. COCO: Objection.

5 A. I'm only aware of what our payment
6 policy is. I'm not aware of what people in
7 general are paying for their drugs.

8 Q. So is the answer to my question that you
9 have no understanding or expectation as to the
10 relationship between the price that they pay to
11 acquire drugs and the amount that they're
12 reimbursed for drugs?

13 MR. COCO: Objection.

14 A. Yeah.

15 Q. Now, let me mark a document. This will
16 be Exhibit Cook 002.

17 (Exhibit Cook 002, Document Bates-
18 numbered BCBSMA-AWP-12489 - 12492, marked for
19 identification.)

20 (Discussion off the record.)

21 Q. Now, there are a number of e-mails on
22 this chain. I'll draw your attention to specific

EXHIBIT 5

Dan Dragalin, M.D. HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY September 17, 2004
New York, NY

1

1 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

3

4

-----x

In Re: PHARMACEUTICAL)

5

)

INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE) MDL No. 1456

6

)

PRICE LITIGATION) CIVIL ACTION NO.

7

)

01-CV-12257-PBS

)

8

-----)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO)

9

ALL ACTIONS)

-----x

10

11

12

13 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF DAN DRAGALIN, M.D.

14

New York, New York

15

Friday, September 17, 2004

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Dan Dragalin, M.D. HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY September 17, 2004
New York, NY

60

1 the actual drug, it would be more palatable.

2 Q. And did any payors, to your
3 knowledge, actually go in that direction?

4 A. Not through us. They might have
5 through their own primary networks, but not
6 through us.

7 Q. Did any payors say something along
8 the lines of yes, we understand that we're
9 providing a margin on a drug to compensate these
10 providers for their services?

11 A. Yeah, they all agreed to that. It's
12 the size of the margin that's the issue here.
13 Well, the size and the variability of the margin,
14 I should say.

15 Q. Is it fair to say that different
16 payors had different expectations of what those
17 margins should be?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. I would like to move on to a second
20 e-mail here, this one is on page 12, and it's the
21 second e-mail, actually, on the page from you to
22 a group of people beginning with Andrea Rowe, and

EXHIBIT 6

Kelly Ellston

Highly Confidential
Washington, DC

November 23, 2004

1

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

3 - - - - - x

CERTIFIED COPY

4 In re: PHARMACEUTICAL :MDL DOCKET NO.

5 INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE :CIVIL ACTION

6 PRICE LITIGATION :01CV12257-PBS

7 - - - - - x,

8 Tuesday, November 23, 2004

9 Washington, D.C.

10

11 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

12

13 Deposition of KELLY ELLSTON, commencing at

14 9:59 a.m., held at the offices of Morgan, Lewis &

15 Bockius, 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington,

16 D.C., before Keith Wilkerson, a notary public in and

17 for the District of Columbia.

18

19

20

21

22

Kelly Ellston

Highly Confidential
Washington, DC

November 23, 2004

89

1 A. That's correct, between the PPO and the
2 provider.

3 Q. Now, based on the fact that Union Labor
4 Life does not know what physicians' acquisition costs
5 are, is it fair to say that Union Labor Life does not
6 know how much money physicians are or are not making
7 in relation to drugs they administer in office?

8 A. That's correct.

9 Q. Union Labor Life does not know how much
10 of a loss they're taking or how much of a profit
11 they're making?

12 A. That's correct.

13 Q. And it would be fair to say that Union
14 Labor Life certainly does not have a particular
15 percentage expectation of the amount of profit that a
16 physician may be making. Correct?

17 A. No.

18 Q. It would be impossible to say that Union
19 Labor Life expects that they'll make a percentage
20 profit of 5 percent, 10 percent, 20 percent, 30
21 percent, 40 percent?

22 A. That's not in our calculations.

Kelly Ellston

Highly Confidential
Washington, DC

November 23, 2004

90

1 Q. That's something that is entirely
2 irrelevant to Union Labor Life's calculations of the
3 amounts that it's going to reimburse. Is that
4 correct?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. Now, we spoke about instances where
7 billing is a percentage of charges.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. I just want to be clear here. Do
10 physicians bill based on a fee schedule or on a
11 percentage of bill charges or either?

12 A. It could be either.

13 Q. Do you have any knowledge as to how
14 physicians would arrive at the amounts billed when
15 they're not using a fee schedule?

16 A. Well, generally the physician doesn't
17 derive what they bill based on percentage of savings
18 or fee schedules. To my knowledge, the physician's
19 billing is completely up to how they decide to set
20 their prices. It's how they're reimbursed is the
21 percentage of savings or the fee schedule. Billing,
22 they can charge what they charge.

EXHIBIT 7

Robert C. Farias

October 20, 2004

Wellesley, MA

1

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3 NO. 01CV12257-PBS
4
5

6 In re: PHARMACEUTICAL)
7 INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE)
8 PRICE LITIGATION)
9)

10 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:)
11 ALL ACTIONS)
12)

13 DEPOSITION OF ROBERT C. FARIAS,
14 called as a witness by and on behalf of the
15 Defendants, pursuant to the applicable provisions
16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before P.
17 Jodi Ohnemus, Notary Public, Certified Shorthand
18 Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and
19 Registered Merit Reporter, within and for the
20 Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of
21 Harvard Pilgrim Health Care, 93 Worcester Road,
22 Wellesley, Massachusetts, on Wednesday, 20 October,
2004, commencing at 10:05 a.m.

Robert C. Farias

October 20, 2004

Wellesley, MA

152

1 Q. So, if a physician were committing a crime
2 and billing for a drug that he had got as a free
3 sample, Harvard Pilgrim would still reimburse him,
4 but would hope that the authorities would catch up
5 with him, right?

6 A. I think that's safe to say.

7 Q. And Harvard Pilgrim doesn't have any
8 knowledge about what providers' acquisition costs
9 are, right?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Doesn't require them to disclose those.

12 A. No.

13 Q. And if it learned that those were higher
14 or lower than it currently thinks they are, that
15 wouldn't change the fact that it reimburses that
16 methodology, which is 95 percent of AWP?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. Indeed, if it learned that in a particular
19 instance physicians were getting a particular drug
20 at a -- were getting a rebate or a discount from a
21 manufacturer on a particular drug, that wouldn't
22 change the fact that Harvard Pilgrim's standard

Robert C. Farias

October 20, 2004

Wellesley, MA

153

1 across the board methodology is 95 percent of AWP?

2 A. Correct.

3 MR. NALVEN: Objection.

4 MR. MANGI: That's it.

5 MR. NALVEN: I have nothing further.

6 THE WITNESS: Okay. Great.

7 (Whereupon the deposition ended at

8 12:52 p.m.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

EXHIBIT 8

Hal Goldman, Pharm D., R.Ph. Confidential
Sunrise, FL

September 20, 2004

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

---oOo---

CESTED
2/8/04

In re: PHARMACEUTICAL
INDUSTRY AVERAGE WHOLESALE
PRICE LITIGATION

MDL DOCKET NO.
CIVIL ACTION
01CV12257-PBS

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALL ACTIONS

- - - - -x

CONFIDENTIAL TRANSCRIPT

1340 Concord Terrace

Third Floor

Sunrise, Florida

September 20, 2004

9:20 a.m. - 1:05 p.m.

DEPOSITION OF HAL GOLDMAN, PHARM D., R.Ph.

Taken before LISA EDWARDS, Registered Merit
Reporter and Notary Public for the State of Florida at
Large, pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition filed in
the above cause.

Hal Goldman, Pharm D., R.Ph. Confidential
Sunrise, FL

September 20, 2004

58

1 other?

2 A. The oncologist will get maybe a different fee
3 because theirs are maybe more high tech than a
4 rheumatologist who's doing an infusion for arthritis.
5 So there may be some differences based on the contract
6 language.

7 It may even vary in an oncologist's language if
8 it's a high-tech infusion versus a lower-tech infusion.
9 And those can get spelled out a whole host of ways
10 depending upon the type of product we have.

11 Q. Which factors does Vista consider in setting
12 the infusion fee that it pays to providers?

13 A. Based on the knowledge I have, it's based on
14 what we actually discussed. It's based on a physician's
15 practice location, do we need that physician in our
16 network, and how important is he to participate in our
17 drug replacement program based on our purchasing power
18 with volume.

19 Q. Does Vista ever consider whether it's necessary
20 to increase the amount of the infusion fee to keep a
21 doctor who may already be in the network from referring
22 people to hospitals to get injectable drugs?

Hal Goldman, Pharm D., R.Ph. Confidential
Sunrise, FL

September 20, 2004

59

1 A. You always want to do things that are
2 office-based that can be done in an office. So I think
3 that would be a factor that might be considered. Here
4 again, it also depends on, do we have 20 doctors in that
5 geographic location that we could just decide to remove
6 the doctor from our panel and refer that line of
7 business somewhere else?

8 Q. Why is it that Vista wants to always, if
9 possible, do these sorts of services in the provider
10 offices?

11 A. There's probably a couple of reasons for that.
12 Number one, of course, there is a higher cost of doing
13 an infusion in an outpatient or hospital setting.
14 There's also a time for a member. Traditionally, with
15 infusions in a physician's office you don't have the
16 long waiting period; so less time lost from work, less
17 time lost from family time.

18 The third thing is, putting somebody into an
19 infusion center or a hospital to get an infusion exposes
20 them to a lot more germs than you do in a doctor's
21 office. So then you're increasing the risk of how
22 you're getting what we call an invasive procedure, which

EXHIBIT 9

Lisa M. Gorman

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

March 7, 2006

Boston, MA

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MDL No. 1456

CERTIFIED COPY

C.A. No. 01-CV-12257-PBS

* * * * *

IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY *

AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION *

*

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL ACTIONS *

* * * * *

VOLUME I

DEPOSITION OF LISA M. GORMAN, a witness called on
behalf of Johnson & Johnson, pursuant to the Federal
Rules of Civil Procedure, before Jessica L.
Williamson, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified
Realtime Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the Offices of
Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi L.L.P., 800 Boylston
Street, Boston, Massachusetts, on Tuesday, March 7,
2006, commencing at 9:00 a.m.

Henderson Legal Services
(202) 220-4158

Lisa M. Gorman HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
Boston, MA

March 7, 2006

106

1 Q. Okay. Now, earlier in the day you also
2 testified that you don't know as a general matter
3 what exactly physicians paid to acquire drugs,
4 right?

5 A. Yes. It's not part of my job
6 responsibility.

7 Q. Okay. So you do have an understanding
8 here that different doctors may have paid
9 different rates, but your testimony is that you
10 don't know what the rates are that any doctors pay
11 to acquire drugs?

12 A. That's true, yeah.

13 Q. So you have no understanding or
14 expectation, then, as to what the relationship is
15 between doctors' acquisition prices for drugs and
16 the amounts that they are reimbursed for drugs?

17 MR. COCO: Objection.

18 A. I don't know, no.

19 Q. So your answer is that you have no such
20 understanding or expectation?

21 A. I don't, yeah.

22 MR. MANGI: Let's mark the next

EXHIBIT 10

January 14, 2005

No. 01CV12257-PBS

IN RE: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY *
AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION . *
*

DEPOSITION OF THOMAS E. GREENEBAUM, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, at CIGNA Headquarters, 900 Cottage Grove Road, South Building, Bloomfield, CT, before Diana M. Noel, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Licensed Shorthand Reporter No. 199, in and for the State of Connecticut, on Friday, January 14, 2005, commencing at 9:40 AM.

Thomas E. Greenebaum

Highly Confidential
Bloomfield, CT

January 14, 2005

75

1 what AWP is. We use it as an industry standard
2 benchmark that has been out there for years, and so
3 that's how we set up, how we sell and pay for services,
4 and we use, you know, our negotiating skills in terms
5 of what we buy from manufacturers. We do use WAC as a
6 relationship when we purchase from a wholesaler only.
7 Otherwise, I really don't deal with WAC at all.

8 (The Court Reporter marked the question.)

9 Q. I was also asking in addition to the question
10 about WAC, I was also asking about actual acquisition
11 costs.

12 Would the same statement that you just
13 made hold true for the actual acquisition cost, that
14 Cigna does not have an expectation of a relationship
15 between average wholesale price or actual acquisition
16 cost but, in fact, those are two separate pieces?

17 MR. ST. PHILLIP: Whose acquisition
18 cost?

19 MS. SCHOEN: Cigna's.

20 MR. NOTARGIACOMO: I'll object to the
21 question.

22 MR. ST. PHILLIP: Could you read it back

Thomas E. Greenebaum Highly Confidential
Bloomfield, CT

January 14, 2005

76

1 for me, please.

2 (The court reporter read back.)

3 MR. ST. PHILLIP: So -- if you
4 understand that, you can answer.

5 A. Yeah, I mean, I think that our acquisition
6 costs are separate from AWP, and we don't have any
7 expectations of what the relationship is between what
8 we purchase the drug for versus what AWP is.

9 MR. WADE: Let me pause for one second.

10 (Discussion off the record.)

11 Q. You explained to me earlier the methodology
12 that Cigna uses to reimburse pharmacies or historically
13 has used since 1991, which was a percentage off of AWP
14 plus a dispensing fee for branded drugs, and either a
15 percentage off of AWP or a MAC list price plus
16 dispensing fee for generic drugs.

17 Are there any other methodologies or
18 ways that Cigna has reimbursed pharmacies from 1991 to
19 the present for pharmaceutical products?

20 A. First of all, we don't reimburse. We pay.
21 We make payments to retail pharmacies. There were a
22 couple specific instances where we were providing a